How investors can benefit from the Alternative-Beta approach: A Practitioner’s Analysis

Alain Groshens
SystematicEdge CEO & Co-founder

June 20th, 2018
When speaking to investors, we receive recurring questions on the importance of incorporating the Alt-β approach into a robust systematic investment process. Such as:

- What are the main concepts of Alternative Beta?
- How can we identify the return contributors from Alternative Beta and the ones from Alpha?
- What are the benefits and the risks for the investors?
- How can Alt-β contribute to enhanced risk adjusted returns?
- How can we practically incorporate Alt-β in a diversified strategy to enhance risk adjusted returns?
- How can we add Alpha within an Alt-β approach?

We aim to address these important questions in this whitepaper.

**What are the main concepts of Alternative Beta?**

At SystematicEdge, our objective is to generate compelling risk adjusted returns for our investors while preserving capital, and in our experience, incorporating the Alternative Beta approach in our systematic investment process is accretive to the other return drivers in our investment strategy and greatly enhances the portfolio’s risk adjusted return profile. We spoke about this subject in more detail during a joint presentation with two quantitative finance professors, Serge Darolles and Guillaume Monarcha, from the University of Paris Dauphine, both at the forefront of research in this field. This paper is based on that presentation.

However, as we begin, let’s review the concepts of Alt-β from a high-level point of view.

Alt-β are investment methodologies producing systematic positive returns over the long term. They are rule based methodologies based on “Investment Factors” and the resulting risk payoffs are called “Alternative Risk Premia” (ARP).

**When speaking to investors, we receive recurring questions on the importance of incorporating the Alt-β approach into a robust systematic investment process. Such as:**

- What are the main concepts of Alternative Beta?
- How can we identify the return contributors from Alternative Beta and the ones from Alpha?
- What are the benefits and the risks for the investors?
- How can Alt-β contribute to enhanced risk adjusted returns?
- How can we practically incorporate Alt-β in a diversified strategy to enhance risk adjusted returns?
- How can we add Alpha within an Alt-β approach?

We aim to address these important questions in this whitepaper.
Alt-β: An evolution of factor investing

The Pyramid of public market liquid strategies’ returns, shows the hierarchy from the easiest to produce at the bottom (Pure Beta) to the most difficult at the top (Alpha):

- **Portfolio Manager’s Talent & Edges:**
  - Strategy design
  - Asset selection
  - Portfolio allocation

- **Investment Factors based:**
  - Value
  - Carry
  - Quality
  - Growth
  - Momentum
  - Size

- **Asset based:**
  - Equities
  - Bonds
  - Forex
  - Currencies

Pyramid of public market liquid strategies

Source: AIMA Research

- **Beta strategy:** long only
- **Smart Beta:** Systematic (i.e. rule based) long only investment methodology
  - Based on specific “investment factors” such as Value, Carry, Momentum
  - Goal: harvest the “risk premia” from the factors it is based on. Smart Beta is the base of “factor investing” or “Style investing”.
- **Alternative-Beta:** Systematic, seeks to capture broader risk premia called Alternative Risk Premia (ARP). The allocation is also based on investment factors with a different (alternative) implementation:
  - Long / short
  - Leveraged
  - Use of derivatives and quantitative models to optimize risk adjusted returns
  - Portfolio implementation using accretive tools and techniques to add value and optimize all aspects of the investment process and compressing costs at all levels (use the techniques applied in Investment Banks and Hedge Funds).
Most frequently used Alt-β strategies across asset-classes

The academic research has already identified more than 400 ARPs.

The investment factors associated to these ARPs have various types:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Investment Factors</th>
<th>Equity</th>
<th>Bonds</th>
<th>Rates</th>
<th>Currency</th>
<th>Commodities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carry</td>
<td>Long high-dividend yield markets; short low-dividend yield</td>
<td>Long the long end of steepest curves; short the long end of flattest curves</td>
<td>Long highest-rate markets, short lowest-rate markets</td>
<td>Borrow in low-yielding currencies; lend in high-yielding currencies</td>
<td>Long backwardation commodities; short contango</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Momentum/ Trend</td>
<td>Directional/ Relative trades favouring markets with positive momentum</td>
<td>Directional/ Relative trades favouring markets with positive momentum</td>
<td>Directional/ Relative trades favouring markets with positive momentum</td>
<td>Directional/ Relative trades favouring markets with positive momentum</td>
<td>Directional/ Relative trades favouring markets with positive momentum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value</td>
<td>Favour low price to book markets</td>
<td>Favour higher real interest rate adjusted for default</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Long cheapest currencies based on purchasing power parity</td>
<td>Mean reversion used to capture under/overvalued commodities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size/ Liquidity</td>
<td>Favour small cap equities versus large</td>
<td>Off-the-run treasuries versus on-the-run</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A (a lateral example might be emerging market currencies)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality/ Defensive</td>
<td>Long low beta stocks, short high beta. Long high quality, short low quality</td>
<td>Favour low volatility – quality/defensive</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Event/ Idiosyncratic</td>
<td>Merger arbitrage; convertible arbitrage</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Seasonality, Index roll arbitrage</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Mercer
Investment factors’ metrics

There are many methods to screen and grade assets with respect to the metrics’ variables.

Using the Alt-β approach within an investment process allows the portfolio-manager to assess and grade assets using the best practice well grounded in academic research.

From one asset-manager to another the definition of value can be different, the estimation of the risk premia and their associated risk adjusted returns can be very different. Yet there is a consensus regarding the fundamental, price and risk parameters to use, well documented in academic research and by practitioners.

Example of fundamental factors and an extract of their metrics:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fundamental Factors</th>
<th>Equity</th>
<th>Corporate Bonds</th>
<th>Government Bonds</th>
<th>Currencies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Value</td>
<td>• Price / Book ratio • Price / Earnings ratio</td>
<td>• Credit spread • Default rate (implicit and realized) • Recovery rate (implicit and realized)</td>
<td>• Credit spread • Government interest rates • CDS • Country Inflation rate</td>
<td>• PPP: Purchasing Power Parity currency exchange rate • Interest rates • Inflation rates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality (sustainability)</td>
<td>• ROE (Return On Equity) • Debt to Equity (financial leverage) • Earnings volatility • Sustainable market positioning</td>
<td>• Rating • ROE (Return On Equity) • Debt to Equity (financial leverage) • Earnings volatility • Sustainable market positioning</td>
<td>• Rating • Debt / GDP ratio • Budget Excess or Deficit / GDP ratio</td>
<td>• Country commercial balances / GDP ratio • Country fiscal balances / GDP ratio (excess or deficit) • GDP Growth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carry (income)</td>
<td>• Dividend real Yield</td>
<td>• Credit real Yield</td>
<td>• Government real Yield</td>
<td>• Real Interest rates</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
How can we identify the return contributors: Alternative beta or Alpha?

Alpha measures the managers’ talent and its edges. The average alpha of a large pool of managers is relatively stable over time. The total portfolio return drivers = Alpha premia + Alternative Risk Premia + Market Risk Premia. Research has shown that true Alpha generated by hedge funds is relatively stable over time (3% to 5%). Yet hedge funds have delivered relatively low performance in the past 5 years (0 to 5% in the 90th percentile). The Alpha component is still present in the total returns but those returns have been reduced due to negative contributors such as market risk, overcrowded strategies and the fact that Risk Premia are cyclical. Risk premia are “strategic” return drivers and their realization of positive returns don’t necessarily materialize in the short term.

One example can be seen in Hedge Funds’ long short relative premium which does not only comprise alpha, it also has systematic return contributors such as market beta (around 50%: see graph top right), systematic long-short ARP (e.g. value) and other intended or unintended ARPs. True Alpha is the residual (stripped of systematic sources of returns), and it can be positive or negative.

The Growth of Systematic factor investing AUM

Factor Investing strategies:

- **One of the biggest commercial successes** of the decade for the asset management industry (see graph below for an illustration of growth in AUM in MUSD since 2008).
- **About a third of the institutional investors** and family offices invest in systematic factor based strategies.
- **80% of big investors** with more than 5 Billion USD in AUM are using systematic factor based strategies.
- Almost all of the above investors are exploring using systematic factor based strategies or increasing the systematic AUM.
New investment money flow

- **AUM in Alt-β related strategies** is projected to rise from around 275 billion USD in 2017 to more than 1 trillion USD by the end of 2019 (according to several institutional and prime broker surveys)
- The AUM in systematic diversified strategies such as Alt-β, is continuously increasing while the AUM in discretionary strategies is decreasing.

Investors new money flow in Billion USD

![Graph showing new money flow](image)

**Source:** HFR, UBS, data as of Q4 2017

**What are the Alt-β benefits for the investors?**

We list 7 main benefits below:

- **Performance:** by definition ARPs are identified, documented and understood systematic sources of returns, both by academic research and industry practitioners.
- **Diversification of risk types across asset classes:** lowers the correlation to the main market and broadens the return sources which subsequently can substantially improve a portfolio’s risk adjusted returns.
- **Liquidity:** Alt-β is applied in liquid public markets, usually with no lock-up period for the investor.
- **Downside risk mitigation:** typically a short exposure to market risks (e.g. via futures or options) can mitigate the downside risk.
- **Transparency and clarity:** a straightforward systematic, rule based strategy, the possibility to set a return objective within a risk budget, framed by a set of investment factors, styles and a defined risk mandate.
- **Return granularity:** identify what the investor is paying for. The portfolio manager’s “talent” risk premia is called Alpha. True Alpha must be stripped from the return’s contributors that are not based on talent and edges but that are coming from systematic risk premia.
- **Costs and capital efficiency:** because Alt-β’s investment universe only comprises liquid assets with a risk budget typically inferior to the main market risks, capital consumption is reduced. That is why asset owners under regulatory constraints such as insurance companies keep on increasing their AUM in Alt-β strategies.
How can Alt-β contribute to enhanced risk adjusted returns?

In the graph below, we consider the growth of a typical 50% Equity / 50% Bonds portfolio over 16 years. If we incorporate in the portfolio a basket of diversified ARPs (e.g. based on Value, Carry, Quality factors) we enhance the portfolio’s risk adjusted profile. This example shows the value added by the ARPs (increase of returns) and their diversifying power (decrease of the portfolio’s volatility). Subsequently, it enhances the Sharpe ratio and even reduces drawdowns.

![Graph showing portfolio growth over 16 years with and without ARPs](image)

Source: Bloomberg, Unigestion

This phrase from Riccardo Rebonato (quantitative researcher) highlights the fact that a portfolio with only ARPs may be volatile with relatively low returns. Let’s consider, as an example, the famous USD/JPY carry trade where one borrows in JPY (the low yielding currency), converts the JPY in USD, and invests in a USD asset (e.g. deposit). At this time, this strategy can yield up to 2.5% per annum (which is the differential of short term interest rates between USD and JPY). It means that the monthly returns would be a bit less than 0.25%. Yet the USD/JPY may move 5% to 7% in one month, so quite stormy moves for gentle returns.

However, at SystematicEdge, we find that integrating ARPs to a diversified strategy within a risk management framework produces more robust and controllable risk adjusted returns than a strategy only comprising ARPs in the academic sense.

To illustrate some of the risks of the Alt-β approach here is a short list:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Without ARP</th>
<th>With ARP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Average return</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
<td>6.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volatility</td>
<td>7.8%</td>
<td>6.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sharpe ratio</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum drawdown</td>
<td>31.2%</td>
<td>23.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

What are the Alt-β risks for the investors?

> To capture risk premia is like trying to feel a gentle breeze in a raging storm

*(Riccardo Rebonato)*

Volatility & drawdown risk: market dislocation, e.g. 2008, induced drawdown in Alt-β strategies (i.e. need to add Risk Management).

ARPs cyclicality: each ARP produces positive returns over time in a specific economic or technical context.

Risk factor overcrowding: large identical positions compress risk premia (e.g. commodity carry, long / short equity).

Implementation risk: the ARPs contributions could be lost in translation: implementing ARPs is a technical challenge, the devil is in the detail. Unintended risk production must be controlled.
For this latter risk, we found this phrase from an unknown author quite appropriate:

“History is merely a training ground to build the skills to deal with unforeseen events.”

How can we practically incorporate ARPs in a diversified strategy to enhance risk adjusted returns?

The SystematicEdge example:

At SystematicEdge, our investment philosophy is:

We invest in “Quality” assets that have a compelling “Value” and recurring “Carry” potential.

From this statement we can directly translate our investment philosophy into a systematic strategy based on 3 “fundamental” investment factors i.e. a “Quantamental” strategy:

- **Value**
  - Compelling Valuation
  - Robust return driver

- **Quality**
  - Sustainability

- **Carry**
  - Income
  - Robust return driver

The “factor equilibrium”: We experienced that finding a factor combination that makes economic sense, improves the stability of returns over time. For instance, the combination of our three factors means that while we are collecting income (i.e. carry) from the assets, we favour a sustainable (e.g. quality) compelling valuation. Also when the asset valuation decreases (e.g. bonds or equity shares sell off), a part of the value is transferred into carry (e.g. lower bond price means higher yield). We avoid antinomic factors (e.g. value combined with momentum) as this combination may lead to an unstable portfolio behavior and has little economic meaning. Yet we acknowledge the decorrelation among antinomic factors that can contribute to overall diversification.

However, the “Factor investing approach” is just a component of our strategy where the main features are:

- Absolute return objective within a risk budget comprising volatility and drawdown tolerance
- Systematic, multi-asset-class “global macro” strategy, diversified across regions and sectors
- Integrates the Alternative Beta approach based on three fundamental factors (Value, Carry, Quality)
- Systematic, yet dynamic, risk management of the portfolio’s downside

Lack of live track record for some ARPs:
New ARPs are found every month. Track records must be established before industrial use.

Historical back testing and strategy overfitting: the syndrome of strategies working only in the past.
The implementation of the Alt-β approach is a challenge for the Asset Manager. It is due to the fact that the asset manager’s investment process implementation is guided and constrained by the investors’ demands, needs, and regulatory requirements. The implementation is also guided by the known benefits and characteristics of the ARPs, the financial expertise and technological resources available.

We acknowledge that the Alt-β approach can be implemented two ways:

**Pure ARP portfolios:**

**Positive:**
- Market neutral, uncorrelated

**Negative:**
- Challenging replication (e.g. convertible arbitrage, mean reversion).
- Subject to market dislocation
- Portfolio returns are low single digit with high single digit volatility
- Behaviour difficult to explain to the investors in standard economic terms as this is an allocation of several risk premia that translates into a portfolio of many types of long and short assets.

**Integrate ARPs as a component of a diversified strategy:**

(e.g. the SystematicEdge multi-asset-class strategy)

In our opinion it is a more compelling proposition as it has higher performance potential and may include systematic, yet dynamic risk management.

**Positive:**
- Higher return potential as opportunities can be systematically taken via direct, long or short beta exposure (still based on the chosen factors)
- Straight forward “asset” allocation implementation (rather than an allocation of risk premia).
- Scalable (easier to scale or leverage assets than risk premia)
- Ability to implement a hedging strategy framed by the risk budget

**Negative:**
- Not market neutral as several sources of beta (e.g. multi-asset-classes) contribute to the total returns
How can we add Alpha within an Alt-β approach?

The SystematicEdge example

Alpha can be generated at all levels of the investment process and is accretive to the systematic returns generated from the ARPs:

**Portfolio construction alpha:**
- Choice of the investment philosophy and its translation into investment factor terms (e.g. Value, Carry, Momentum).
- Design the process to capture the ARPs (choice of assets, financial instruments).

**Portfolio optimization alpha:**
Many constraints apply on the asset allocation: Risk Mandate limits, risk adjusted return objectives, rebalancing rules. There are 2 interdependent stages:
- Risk adjusted return optimization, e.g. Sharpe Ratio optimization. We obtain an expected risk adjusted return (notion of average or mean returns)
- Leverage optimization with the goal of maximizing the portfolio growth overtime: i.e. maximizing the compounding effect (e.g. double the capital every 5 years) while avoiding unrecoverable losses

**Risk Management alpha:**
implement the Risk Management process and “Hedging Strategy” in order that the portfolio’s overall risks remain within the pre-defined risk budget. Avoid extreme loss to preserve the compounding effect.

**Cost compressing alpha:**
at all levels of the investment process, costs are compressed, typically using technology:
- Brokerage (minimize number of trades)
- Asset financing cost (derivatives may be used)
- Management and operational fees.

**Remark:** Sometimes efficient looking solutions (e.g. ETFs) may be quite costly (several % p.a.):
- Unnecessary costs pre-integrated in the index to track (e.g. withholding tax, brokerage fees, execution slippage)
- Lending income from the asset not given back to the investor

**Financial Technology alpha:**
Fintech gives us the tools enabling the Portfolio Manager to harvest broader return sources based on a large amount of data. The alpha resides in how to apply this technology to achieve the investment goals.
- **Big Data analysis** to collect continuously numeric and non numeric data such as market sentiments from news and social networks that are relevant and accretive to the whole investment process. This allows the rule based investment process parameters to be fed by live data and adapt continuously to market cycles and market regimes.
- **Machine Learning** to refine the systematic asset screening and grading from fundamental and quantitative metrics as well as improve the already automated (by algorithm) trade execution in the market.
In Conclusion, Alt-β strategies produce, by construction, positive long term strategic returns with low correlation to the main market. For further academic research on this, please see Paris Dauphine’s Professor Serge Darolle’s research regarding the persistence of the performance of the ARPs. When integrated to a diversified allocation (e.g. SytematicEdge multi-asset-class), it can both contribute to higher returns and lower volatility. The use of the Alt-β approach allows the granular identification of the investment factors driving the returns and can subsequently systematize many investment philosophies and approaches along with a clear risk adjusted return objective with a defined risk budget.

This approach, based on liquid assets, allows for cost compression, technology integration and automation at all levels of the investment process.

We highlighted the importance of the many “Alpha contributors” implemented thanks to the portfolio managers experience and talent that add value such as the process design to capture ARPs, the portfolio construction, the Risk Management process, and the use of efficient accretive “smart technology” (Big Data, Machine Learning).

The Alt-β approach can be applied in a standalone “pure ARP” strategy or integrated in a diversified strategy such as SystematicEdge's multi-asset-class proposition.

We experienced that incorporating the Alt-β approach to our investment process, combined with technology and our experience, produced a robust systematic investment strategy that delivered compelling and recurring risk adjusted performance since its inception.

“There is simply no substitute for experience”

(Airline Captain Chesley “Sully” Sullenberger)
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